Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/692,090	NEMOTO, SHIGERU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW F. DESANTO	3763	

All Participants:

(1) *MATTHEW F. DESANTO*.

(2) *Daniel Altman*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *19 November 2009*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The 103 Rejection in the office action dated 04/03/09

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Botich US 5407431, Ericson et al. US 20010014996, and Skakoon et al. US 4804368.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested to amend the independent claim so the range of the roughness would correspond to the results in the specification and thus show the criticality of the range because of the unexpected results.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Matthew F DeSanto/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3763

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)